induction-period region, is greater than this. The relative increase, therefore, would be less than  $10^2$ , and this probably is not enough to cause a shift in the induction-period reaction from the characteristics of a univalent ion reaction to those of a bivalent ion reaction.

Communication No. 1126 from the Kodak Research Laboratories Eastman Kodak Co. Rochester 4, New York Received December 19, 1946

# The Molar Refraction of Sulfonyl Chlorides

## By Edward F. Landau<sup>1</sup>

Except for the early work of Nasini,<sup>2</sup> there is little published information regarding the molar refraction of tetracovalent compounds of sulfur. In a previous publication,<sup>3</sup> we evaluate the molar refraction of the  $-SO_2O-$  group in a series of ethylenesulfonic esters. This value was  $9.7 \pm 0.2$ .

In the course of this investigation several liquid aliphatic sulfonyl chlorides were prepared. Their molar refractions were determined and the value of the sulfonyl chloride group compared with two other liquid sulfonyl chlorides. The molar refraction of the sulfonyl chloride group as determined from the five compounds listed, is  $15.40 \pm 0.07$ .

## 4-Quinolinemethanol

## By S. F. MACDONALD

As lepidine undergoes a condensation of the Mannich type with an aldehyde and an amine,<sup>1</sup> 4-quinolinemethanol was prepared in the hope that it would do likewise. Unfortunately, we have been unable to condense it with cotarnine or with formaldehyde and piperidine. Such a condensation would have provided an attractive theory as to the biogenesis of the cinchona alkaloids: a Mannich condensation between 4-quinolinemethanols and one<sup>2</sup> of the stereoisomeric aldehydes of meroquinenine; the latter possibly formed from 6-keto-decahydroisoquinoline, by a photolytic reaction analogous to the conversion of cyclohexanone into hexenal.<sup>3</sup>

The preparation of quinoline-4-aldehyde<sup>4</sup> was modified to eliminate difficulties due to bumping and the tedious steam distillation; using acetic acid as solvent we got the same yields with freshly prepared and with year-old selenium dioxide.

#### Experimental

Quinoline-4-aldehyde (Anhydrous).—A mixture of 40 g. of lepidine, 400 ml. of acetic acid, and 80 ml. of acetic anhydride was stirred at 85-90° and 45 g. of powdered sublimed selenium dioxide added over one and a quarter hours. The mixture was heated one and a half hours longer, cooled and filtered. The filtrate was treated with

TABLE I

Molar Refraction of Sulfonyl Chlorides

°C. <sup>B. p</sup>	., Mm.	<i>n</i> <sup>20</sup> D	$d^{20}{}_4$	MDª (obs.)	MD-SO <sub>2</sub> C1 <sup>b</sup>
82	5	1.5227	1.894	33.44	15.31
68	5	1.4920	1.555	30.72	15.52
52 - 56	10	1.4686	1.393	25.31	15.45
		$1.5505^{\circ}$	1.378°	40.73	15.35
68 - 70	8	1.4779	1.2704	34.43	15.36
	°C. <sup>B, p</sup> 82 68 52–56 68–70	°C. <sup>B. p.,</sup> Mm. 82 5 68 5 52–56 10 68–70 8	°C. <sup>B. p.,</sup> Mm. $n^{29}$ D 82 5 1.5227 68 5 1.4920 52-56 10 1.4686 1.5505° 68-70 8 1.4779	°C. <sup>B. p.,</sup> Mm. $n^{20}$ $d^{20}$ , 82 5 1.5227 1.894 68 5 1.4920 1.555 52-56 10 1.4686 1.393 1.5505 <sup>e</sup> 1.378 <sup>e</sup> 68-70 8 1.4779 1.2704	°C. <sup>B. p.,</sup> Mm. $n^{20}$ $d^{20}$ , $M$ $D^{a}$ (obs.) 82 5 1.5227 1.894 33.44 68 5 1.4920 1.555 30.72 52-56 10 1.4686 1.393 25.31 1.5505 <sup>a</sup> 1.378 <sup>a</sup> 40.73 68-70 8 1.4779 1.2704 34.43

<sup>a</sup> ''Landolt-Börnstein Tabellen,'' Vol. II, p. 986, Bruel values. <sup>b</sup> Evaluated by difference. <sup>c</sup> Marvel, Bailey and Sparberg, THIS JOURNAL, 49, 1833 (1927). <sup>d</sup> Suter, Malkemus and Archer, *ibid.*, 63, 1596 (1941). <sup>e</sup> At 23°.

# Experimental

Ethylenesulfonyl Chloride.—Powdered phosphorus pentachloride (22 g.) was added in small portions to a rapidly agitated suspension of 12.5 g. ammonium ethylenesulfonate<sup>3</sup> in 100 ml. of chloroform. The temperature of this suspension was maintained at  $30-40^{\circ}$  for two hours. The chloroform was removed by distillation and the residue dissolved in 50 ml. of ether. After three washings with 50-ml. portions of water, the ethereal solution was dried and distilled. Two fractions were obtained. Fraction I, 4 g.; b. p. 52–56° (1 mm.);  $n^{20}$ p 1.4686;  $d^{20}$ , 1.393.

Anal. Calcd. for  $C_2H_3O_2CIS$ : S, 25.34; sapn. equiv., 63.3. Found: S, 25.62, 25.51; sapn. equiv., 64.5. Fraction II, 4 g., b. p. 70–72° (5 mm.);  $n^{20}p$  1.4740;  $d^{20}_4$  1.439: sapn. equiv., 67.7. This fraction was possibly a polymeric vinyl sulfonyl chloride.

CHEMICAL LABORATORY

POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE OF BROOKLYN

BROOKLYN, NEW YORK RECEIVED JANUARY 4, 1947

(1) Present address: Celanese Corporation of America, Newark, New Jersey.

(2) Nasini, Ber., 15, 2844 (1882).

(3) Whitmore and Landau, THIS JOURNAL, 68, 1797 (1946).

70 ml. of concentrated hydrochloric acid, allowed to stand at 10° overnight and filtered. The aldehyde in the filtrate was isolated by steam-distilling off the excess acetic acid, neutralizing the remainder with sodium hydroxide then magnesium oxide, and distilling the aldehyde over in steam. The hydrochloric acid precipitate, containing most of the aldehyde, was dissolved in water, filtered, treated with excess sodium hydroxide and the aldehyde filtered off. The two lots of aldehyde were combined, dehydrated by heating *in vacuo* in the distilling flask and distilled *in vacuo*, collecting at 98-108° (*ca*. 0.1 mm.); yield 50-60%, m. p. 48-49° (uncor.). Quinoline-4-methanol.—A mixture of 30 g. of quinoline-

Quinoline 4-methanol.—A mixture of 30 g. of quinoline-4-aldehyde, 200 ml. of anhydrous *i*-propyl alcohol, and 6.3 g. of aluminum *i*-propylate was distilled slowly through a Widmer column until the temperature at the top of the column rose to 82°, the volume being kept constant by the occasional addition of *i*-propyl alcohol. Thirty ml. of water was then added to the contents of the flask, and water and *i*-propyl alcohol removed by heating *in vacuo*.

(1) Heou-Feo, Bull. soc. chim., [5] 2, 96 (1935).

(2) King, J. Chem. Soc., 523 (1946).

(3) Ciamician and Silber, Ber., 41, 1071 (1908).

(4) Kwartler and Lindwall, THIS JOURNAL, 59, 524 (1937); Kaplan. *ibid.*, 63, 2654 (1941).